

Ambiguity and Incomplete Information in Categorical Models of Language

Dan Marsden

June 9, 2016

Categorical Compositional Semantics

Outline

- ▶ Meanings are modelled in dagger compact closed categories, typical examples are **FdVect**, **CPM(FdVect)**, **Rel**
- ▶ Meanings of individual words are states in these compact closed categories, e.g. $I \xrightarrow{\text{dog}} N$
- ▶ How do we describe ambiguous and incomplete information in a systematic way?

Categorical Compositional Semantics

Ambiguity

Multiple Interpretations

When we encounter the noun “bank” in a sentence, questions arise:

Categorical Compositional Semantics

Ambiguity

Multiple Interpretations

When we encounter the noun “bank” in a sentence, questions arise:

- ▶ Does the text intend a “river bank” or a “financial bank”?

Categorical Compositional Semantics

Ambiguity

Multiple Interpretations

When we encounter the noun “bank” in a sentence, questions arise:

- ▶ Does the text intend a “river bank” or a “financial bank”?
- ▶ Is one more likely than the other?

Categorical Compositional Semantics

Ambiguity

Multiple Interpretations

When we encounter the noun “bank” in a sentence, questions arise:

- ▶ Does the text intend a “river bank” or a “financial bank”?
- ▶ Is one more likely than the other?
- ▶ Given representations of “river bank” and “financial bank” can we build a model of “bank” as a mixture?

$$0.9 \text{ “river bank”} + 0.1 \text{ “financial bank”}$$

Categorical Compositional Semantics

Ambiguity and Selinger's CPM Construction

- ▶ Move to **CPM(FdHilb)** and model ambiguity using density matrices:

$$0.9|r.b\rangle\langle r.b| + 0.1|f.b.\rangle\langle f.b|$$

Categorical Compositional Semantics

Ambiguity and Selinger's CPM Construction

- ▶ Move to **CPM(FdHilb)** and model ambiguity using density matrices:

$$0.9|r.b\rangle\langle r.b| + 0.1|f.b.\rangle\langle f.b|$$

- ▶ Can we systematically use the CPM construction to provide a “mixing construction”? **CPM(Rel)** seems to suggest the answer is no:
 - ▶ Strange mixing behaviour
 - ▶ Poverty of scalars

Categorical Compositional Semantics

Ambiguity and Selinger's CPM Construction

- ▶ Move to **CPM(FdHilb)** and model ambiguity using density matrices:

$$0.9|r.b\rangle\langle r.b| + 0.1|f.b.\rangle\langle f.b|$$

- ▶ Can we systematically use the CPM construction to provide a “mixing construction”? **CPM(Rel)** seems to suggest the answer is no:
 - ▶ Strange mixing behaviour
 - ▶ Poverty of scalars
- ▶ Do we care?

Adding Mixtures Formally

The Initial Idea

Expanding Homsets

If we start with a dagger compact closed category \mathcal{C} , we would like “expand” our homsets with extra elements that represent probabilistic mixtures of the morphisms in \mathcal{C} . Ideally:

- ▶ Formation of mixtures should interact well with composition - enriched category
- ▶ Our new category should contain \mathcal{C} as the “pure” information
- ▶ Our new category will also be dagger compact closed
- ▶ In the absence of further information, we want to do this “freely”, introducing only the required equations

Informational Effects as Algebra

Phenomena of Interest

Probabilistic (quantified) ambiguity:

With confidence p it's a "river bank", otherwise it's a "financial bank"

Modelled as a family of binary operations:

$$f +^p g$$

With axioms:

$$f +^p g = g +^{1-p} f$$

$$f +^p f = f$$

$$f +^p (g +^q h) = (f +^m g) +^n h$$

Informational Effects as Algebra

Phenomena of Interest

Unquantified ambiguity:

It's either a "river bank" or a "financial bank"

Modelled as a binary operation with:

$$f \vee g$$

Satisfying commutativity, associativity and idempotence. An affine join semilattice.

Informational Effects as Algebra

Phenomena of Interest

Incomplete information:

I don't know what "logolepsy" means

Modelled as a constant:

⊥

Informational Effects as Algebra

Phenomena of Interest

Combinations of ambiguity and incomplete information:

*I'm 90% certain "bank" means "financial bank",
otherwise I don't know what it refers to*

"financial bank" $+^P \perp$

Informational Effects as Algebra

Monads and Algebras

The algebras for describing quantitative mixtures are the algebras of the **finite distribution monad** D . They form a category of **convex algebras**, denoted **Convex**. The free algebra on a set F is given by all *formal* convex combinations:

$$\sum_i p_i |f_i\rangle$$

Informational Effects as Algebra

Monads and Algebras

The algebras for describing unquantified mixing are the algebras of the **non-empty finite powerset monad** P_{ω}^+ . They form a category of **affine join semilattices**, denoted **AJSLat**. The free algebra on a set F is given by all non-empty finite subsets of F .

Informational Effects as Algebra

Monads and Algebras

The algebras for describing incomplete information are the algebras of the **lift monad** $(-)_\perp$. They form a category of **pointed sets**, denoted **Set \bullet** . The free algebra on a set F is given by extending F with a \perp element.

Informational Effects as Algebra

Monads and Algebras

The algebras for describing a combination of quantified ambiguity and incomplete information are the algebras of the **subdistribution monad** S . They form a category of **subconvex algebras**, denoted **Subconvex**. The free algebra on a set F is given by all *formal* subconvex combinations:

$$\sum_i p_i |f_i\rangle$$

Informational Effects as Algebra

Monads and Algebras

The algebras for describing a combination of unquantified ambiguity and incomplete information are the algebras of the **finite powerset monad** P_ω . They form a category of **join semilattices**, denoted **JSLat**. The free algebra on a set F is given by the set of finite subsets of F .

Informational Effects as Algebras

Enrichment and the Monad Connection

Categories of Algebras

Using standard results, the Eilenberg-Moore category of a **Set** monad is:

- ▶ Complete.
- ▶ Cocomplete.

Informational Effects as Algebras

Enrichment and the Monad Connection

Categories of Algebras

Using standard results, the Eilenberg-Moore category of a **Set** monad is:

- ▶ Complete.
- ▶ Cocomplete.

In fact, all the monads we're interested in are commutative. In this case (Kock, Jacobs), the Eilenberg-Moore category:

- ▶ Is symmetric monoidal closed.
- ▶ Has universal bimorphisms for the monoidal tensor.
- ▶ Has monoidal unit given by the free algebra $(\{*\}, !)$.
- ▶ Has tensors of free algebras given by free algebras on the cartesian product

Informational Effects as Algebras

Enrichments and Composition

A category is:

- ▶ **Set_•**-enriched if its homsets are pointed sets such that:

$$\perp \circ f = \perp \quad \text{and} \quad f \circ \perp = \perp$$

- ▶ **AJSLat**-enriched if its homsets are affine semilattices such that:

$$(f \vee g) \circ h = (f \circ h) \vee (g \circ h) \quad \text{and} \quad f \circ (g \vee h) = (f \circ g) \vee (f \circ h)$$

- ▶ **Convex**-enriched if its homsets are convex algebras such that:

$$\left(\sum_i p_i f_i\right) \circ g = \sum_i p_i (f_i \circ g) \quad \text{and} \quad f \circ \left(\sum_i p_i g_i\right) = \sum_i p_i (f \circ g_i)$$

Results

For a dagger compact closed category \mathcal{C} we can:

- ▶ Construct a category \mathcal{C}_\perp whose homsets contain an additional \perp element
- ▶ Construct a category \mathcal{C}_{P^+} with homsets containing non empty subsets of \mathcal{C} -morphisms.
- ▶ Construct a category \mathcal{C}_D with homsets containing all formal convex sums of \mathcal{C} -morphisms.
- ▶ Construct a category \mathcal{C}_{P^ω} with homsets containing finite subsets of \mathcal{C} -morphisms.
- ▶ Construct a category \mathcal{C}_S with homsets containing all formal subconvex sums of \mathcal{C} -morphisms.

Results

In each case we can extend the composition and monoidal structure in \mathcal{C} giving a category such that:

- ▶ There is an identity on objects embedding of \mathcal{C} into the new category
- ▶ It is the free enrichment of \mathcal{C} with respect to the appropriate algebraic structure.
- ▶ The category is dagger compact closed.

Conclusion

- ▶ We can “expand” homsets to incorporate various informational effects
- ▶ Our extended homsets contain exactly the additional elements that we need
- ▶ Even starting with categories such as **Rel** we can form genuine probabilistic mixtures if we need them

Conclusion

- ▶ We can “expand” homsets to incorporate various informational effects
- ▶ Our extended homsets contain exactly the additional elements that we need
- ▶ Even starting with categories such as **Rel** we can form genuine probabilistic mixtures if we need them
- ▶ Is it a coincidence that all these monads are commutative?
- ▶ Are there other information based phenomena that can be incorporated?
- ▶ The general process needs making explicit.